IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 21602 of 2004

Between:

S. Nageswara Rao,

S/o. Pedda Reddamma, HIndu,
R/o. Nunna Village,

Vijayawada Rural Mandal, Krishna District

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Vijayawada Rural Mandal, Vijayawada.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent as illegal and ultra vires the provisions of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India directing the respondent to take appropriate action directing the Mandal Surveyor to measure Ac.0.63 cents of land situate in D.NO. 374 of R.S. NO. 601/7 of Nunna Village, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, Krishna District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.S.R.ANJANEYALU

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 21602 of 2004

ORDER:

_

_

For not taking any action on the representation of the petitioner dated 26-02-2004, the present writ petition is filed.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that he is the owner of ac.0.63 cents of land situate in D.No.374 of R.S.No.601/7 of Nunna village, Vijayawada Rural Mandal,Krishna District. He made a representation to the respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer to get his land measured and get the boundary stones fixed. But the respondent did not take any action on the representation made by the petitioner.

3. The respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer is the competent authority for taking action to measure and fix the boundary stones to the said land.

4. Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, since it is the case of demarcation and survey, a direction can be given to the respondent to take appropriate decision in accordance with law, based on the representation dated 26-02-2004, given by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. In the circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

November 25, 2004

Kvr

To

1. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Vijayawada Rural Mandal, Vijayawada.

2. Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings,
   High Court of A.P., Hyderabad, (OUT)

3 Two C.D. Copies.